1 AARON D. FORD Attorney General DOUGLAS R. RANDS (Bar No. 3572) 2Senior Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada 3 Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson Street 4 Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 684-1150 (phone) 5 Email: drands@ag.nv.gov 6 Attorneys for Defendants James Dzurenda and Michael Minev 7 8

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

	GREGORY BOLIN, Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:23-cv-00168-MMD-CLB
	v. DR. KOHN, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
	Defendants.	(ECF No. 58)
ı		(Fourth Request)

Defendants James Dzurenda and Michael Minev, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, and Douglas R. Rands, Senior Deputy Attorney General, of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, hereby submit this *Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to file Response to Preliminary Injunction*.

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I. BACKGROUND AND RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Mr. Bolin filed this case alleging violations of his constitutional rights.(ECF No. 5) Defendants have accepted service.(ECF No. 13) On or about March 25, 2024, this Court issued a Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan.(ECF No. 29) Mr. Bolin filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction on June 2, 2025. (ECF No. 58) The response was due on June 16, 2025. This Court granted one extension of time to July 11, 2025 to file the response. (ECF No. 64) In preparation for filing the response, Counsel for the Defendants reviewed the requests which serve as the basis for the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The NDOC

28

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

can likely agree to the substance of the requests. However, additional time will be necessary to work out the details and arrive at an agreement with both sides.

The Parties believe it is likely that an agreement can be reached that will satisfy both sides and obviate the necessity for the Court to decide the motion. However, in attempting to finalize an agreement, the State of Nevada has suffered a massive cyber incident which has shut down internet, telephone, data and virtual files for the entire State of Nevada. The Office of the Attorney General has been shut down for the last two days, and Counsel has been forced to file this Motion from his home computer. Counsel has no access to his case files, internet or even his office computer. Counsel has discussed this matter with Counsel for Mr. Bolin, and they have no objection to additional time. Therefore, the Defendants request additional time for the response, if necessary.

### II. APPLICABLE LAW FOR MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs extensions of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

Defendant's request is timely and will not hinder or prejudice Mr. Bolin's case but will allow for a thorough opportunity to respond to the motion. The requested extension of time should permit the Defendant time to adequately gather the required exhibits and prepare the response. Defendant asserts that the requisite good cause is present to warrant the requested extension of time. The cyber issue has prevented the Office of the Attorney General to accomplish any work for the last several days. The parties are working on a resolution of the underlying issue contained in the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. This is the fourth extension request on this response and is made in good faith.

## III. CONCLUSION

Defendants assert that the requisite good cause and extenuating circumstances are present to warrant the requested extension of time. Therefore, Defendants requests an extension to file their response to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. The Defendants request an extension of 10 days, or to **Friday, September 5, 2025**, to file the Defendants' response.

DATED this  $26^{th}\,$  day of August, 2025.

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
/s/ Douglas R. Rands
Douglas R. Rands (Bar No. 3572)
Attorneys for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED:** August 27, 2025

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE